

District Plan Submission Form

(for plan changes, variations and notices of requirement)

WESTFIELD ORBITATION

<p>Please print clearly</p> <p>Full name: THE EDEN/ALBERT RATEPAYERS/RESIDENTS' ASSN</p> <p>Organisation: (if the submission is on behalf of an organisation)</p> <p>Agent: (if applicable) DR PETER B BOYS (Ph.D)</p> <p>Address for correspondence: PO BOX 41 071</p> <p>Phone: (business) POST (home) ST LUKES (fax) 1346</p> <p>e-mail address: AUCKLAND CITY</p>	<p>For office use only</p> <p>Submission No. 362</p> <p>7 9 MAY 2009</p>
--	---

This is a submission on the following plan modification (state plan modification name and number):

WESTFIELD ST LUKES REZONING APPN, BUS. S.

Please tick the section of the district plan your submission relates to:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1999 Operative Isthmus Section | <input type="checkbox"/> Transitional Operative District Plan (former Auckland District Scheme) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1996 Operative Hauraki Gulf Islands Section | <input type="checkbox"/> 1997 Proposed Central Area Section |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 2004 Operative Central Area Section |

Please use a separate form for each separate matter for submission

The specific provision of the plan modification that my submission relates to is:

The association rejects/opposes and is entirely dis-satisfied with the proposed plan change under the Op. Dist. Scheme/Plan.

The association believes the rezoning/buildings/footprint(s) of the app would have a major, profound environmental/social/traffic/residential properties/waterways (loss of) and would not be in the best interests of local residents/ratepayers.

We believe WFST LUKES is at its

continued on reverse

+ / We support / oppose / seek amendments to the plan modification. The reasons for my / our views are;

Note: if you generally support the plan modification but seek some amendments to it you should tick both the "support" and the "seek amendments" box. If you oppose the modification but seek amendments as an alternative to its decline, you should tick both the "oppose" and the "seek amendments" box.

cto) maximum operative footprint
and its modus operandi (Latin)
= (mode of operations)

We believe there would be
an ~~inc~~ increase of crime/noises ex
staff/shoppers and construction
noises/dust/trucks/cranes et al
(Latin) = and others

We request council decline the
application in its entirety.

+ / We seek the following decision from the council (give precise details):

Note: the decision requested from council should only relate to the content of the plan modification. Any matters raised which do not directly relate to the plan modification will be treated as being not "on" the plan modification or "outside the scope" of the plan modification and will not be considered.

decline / accept / accept with amendments

We believe the adjoining
retail payers/residents of the
present mall do not need
move movements of traffic (mall)
through traffic and overall
ODP disadvantages with
any council roads becoming
private roads given to WFSF.
We believe that local residents
should enjoy their local residential
area without any inconveniences

I have attached additional information Yes

No

We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing what solve

Yes No

We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission Yes No

Signed | [Signature]

Date

27 May 2009

Note: If your submission is to a notice of requirement the RMA requires you to serve a copy on the person who lodged the notice of requirement as soon as reasonably practicable

[Signature]
Albert Nelson