

District Plan Submission Form

(for plan changes, variations and notices of requirement)

| | |
|--|---|
| Please print clearly Full name: <u>Jane Louise Powell</u> Organisation: (if the submission is on behalf of an organisation) | For office use only Submission No. <u>684</u> |
| Agent: (if applicable) Address for correspondence: <u>19 Aroha Ave</u> Phone: (business) <u>620 7680</u> (home) <u>846 0896</u> (fax) e-mail address: <u>janeloup@gmail.com</u> | CITY DEVELOPMENT 29 MAY 2003 AUCKLAND CITY |

This is a submission on the following plan modification (state plan modification name and number):

Plan Modification 8 - Westfield

Please tick the section of the district plan your submission relates to:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1999 Operative Isthmus Section | <input type="checkbox"/> Transitional Operative District Plan (former Auckland District Scheme) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1996 Operative Hauraki Gulf Islands Section | <input type="checkbox"/> 1997 Proposed Central Area Section |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 2004 Operative Central Area Section |

Please use a separate form for each separate matter for submission

The specific provision of the plan modification that my submission relates to is:

- loss of trees
- loss of open space
- lack of locally owned & operated businesses
catering for the needs of THIS residential area.
- traffic difficulties
- loss of personal choice in shopping
- safety for children, old people, or those with
disabilities - road safety, air & noise pollution
- threat to 4 local school rolls as industrialisation
spreads

continued on reverse

I / We support / oppose / seek amendments to the plan modification. The reasons for my / our views are:

Note: if you generally support the plan modification but seek some amendments to it you should tick both the "support" and the "seek amendments" box. If you oppose the modification but seek amendments as an alternative to its decline, you should tick both the "oppose" and the "seek amendments" box.

I oppose the Westfield plan because by replacing trees with a huge concrete mall will take away the pleasant greenness of the existing area, and will also due to the massive concrete structure add excessive heat and glare, dust, shadows.

• an inside (mainly) mall is alienating to a sense of local community or town centre - people are invisible from the outside, we only see the traffic, hear the noisy air conditioning. There is no beauty, or character. I prefer locally owned operated shops like Mt Roskill, Valley Rd, Sandringham Rd, with the continuity of people as opposed to the forever changing staff of big chain shops

• it is hard for me to get out of Anohy to go to work - this Westfield proposal will make it worse, plus the increased danger to pedestrians & cyclists
• I prefer to see children walk to the 4 local schools, than truck deliveries!

I / We seek the following decision from the council (give precise details):

Note: the decision requested from council should only relate to the content of the plan modification. Any matters raised which do not directly relate to the plan modification will be treated as being not "on" the plan modification or "outside the scope" of the plan modification and will not be considered.

decline / accept / accept with amendments

This 2030 Towncentre plan from the ACC ~~says~~ should be primarily for the benefit of the local residents & community. I don't agree with a main motive to make a profit for Westfield. Already it feels as though Mt. Albert Library & CAB are being squeezed out by the mall; I used to picnic outside with my class (I am a teacher) on outings to the library - now there is too much traffic for safety and noise, and shade from the mall. Many useful shops for me have disappeared from the mall; I can't afford the boutique clothes, shoes & accessories in many of the shops, and I think most of our local residents feel the same. The majority of the Westfield shops do not cater for the local community.

I want my street to remain a child centred, family centred, green, quiet (ish), clean air place!

I have attached additional information: Yes No

I / We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing

Yes No

I / We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission

Yes No

Signed Jane Powell Date 26 May 2009

Note: if your submission is to a notice of requirement the RMA requires you to serve a copy on the person who lodged the notice of requirement as soon as reasonably practicable

