FW: District Plan online further submission - Correction Request

From: gbryant@xtra.co.nz [mailto:gbryant@xtra.co.nz]
Sent: Friday, 12 December 2014 11:40 a.m.
To: isthmusplan@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Cc: gbryant@xtra.co.nz
Subject: District Plan online further submission

Thank you for your further submission.

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.



Contact details

Full name: garry bryant Organisation: three kings united group inc Agent: Phone (daytime): 021 998 305 Phone (evening): Mobile: Email address: <u>gbryant@xtra.co.nz</u> Postal address: 43B Peary Road, Mt Eden Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): 372

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Support the submission of: Submission number 119 Submitter name Antipodean Properties Ltd

I/We: Support these particular parts of the above submission: Specifically the Group supports the reasons provided by Antipodean Properties Ltd in justifying their opposition under their paragraphs numbers as recorded in their submission 119: 3;7(a,b&c);8;10;11;12;14;15(a);17;18;20;22(a,b,c&d);23;24;25;26(a,b&c);31;32(a)

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is: The Group generally supports submission 119 and the relief sought by Antipodean Properties Ltd, that the Proposed Private Plan Change 372 be declined.

I/We seek that: The whole submission be allowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: Yes

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: Yes

From:	mandy.sherring@hnzc.co.nz
To:	District Plans Central
Cc:	mandy.sherring@hnzc.co.nz
Subject:	District Plan online further submission
Date:	Friday, 12 December 2014 5:21:10 p.m.

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.

?

Contact details

Full name: Mandy Sherring Organisation: Housing New Zealand Agent: Phone (daytime): 092615825 Phone (evening): Mobile: 021672486 Email address: mandy.sherring@hnzc.co.nz Postal address: Level 2, Public Trust Building, 205 Great South Road, Greenlane Post code: 1546 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): 372 & 372 - Three Kings

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Oppose the submission of: Submission number 111 Submitter name Gerri Waterkamp

I/We: Oppose these particular parts of the above submission: see table attached

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is: Housing New Zealand is a major land owner of sites adjoining the plan change area

I/We seek that:

Part of the submission be disallowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: Yes

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: No

Further Submissions of Housing New Zealand Corporation

Further submission No 2

To Proposed Plan Modification Numbers 372 and 373 to the Auckland Council Operative District Plan - Isthmus Section

Decisions Requested: Plan Modification 372 - Three Kings

Sub#/ Point	Submission #	Name of Submitter	Decision Requested	Support or Oppose	Reas
111/5	111	Gerri Waterkamp	That the viewshafts be independently assessed and that consultation with all stakeholders be undertaken before finalising these locations. That the viewshafts become a part of an overall masterplan for the Precinct.	Support in part	Housing New Zealand supports an independer cone throughout the Auckland Region taking in Plan and best practicable options for protecting
111/8	111	Gerri Waterkamp	That the proposed building height plan does not exceed 2 storeys directly adjacent to Mt Eden road, for the continued walking safety of all pedestrian; adult and child during daylight and night. That the proposed 4+ storey developments be removed to ensure connectivity to the town centre	oppose	Housing New Zealand supports 4 storey height transport route therefore landuse, densities and Mount Eden Road as a public transport corrido development can improve surveillance of the s pedestrians.
116/5	116	James C Koller	Provide Protection for historic buildings.	oppose in part	The submitter has not specifically listed which more detailed information being provided by th protection of, or how they are seeking that any Housing New Zealand opposes in part the relie

Decisions Requested: Plan Modification 372 and 373 - Three Kings

Sub#/ Point	Submission #	Name of Submitter	Decision Requested	Support or Oppose	Rea
17/1	17	Clinton M Ulyatt	A ramp for bicycles and wheel chair access needs to be a mandatory inclusion to facilitate pedestrian access for the broader community		HNZC supports in part the relief seeking impro and integration of movement networks from th landuse, particularly from the residential areas Mount Eden Road.
27/5	27	Ann Weaver	That Grahame Breed Drive is not used as a main vehicle road into the proposed development.	Oppose	HNZC supports improved connectivity within the of Grahame Breed Drive as providing additionate area.
28/5	28	John and Mary-Ann White	The community requires landscaped passive recreational areas that provide good linkages		While HNZC supports the provision of areas of through the site, HNZC notes previous advice Isthmus has a shortage of active recreation fie the provision of active recreation being provide

asons

dent assessment of all view shafts to the volcanic g into account the objectives of the Auckland ting view shafts

ght limit as Mount Eden Road is a strategic and height limits should reflect the importance of idor. Additionally well designed apartment e street therefore improving safety for

ch buildings he wishes to see protected. Without the submitter on what the submitter is seeking my identified features are to be protected, elief sought by this submission.

easons

proved connectivity through the plan change area the plan change area with the surrounding eas to the west; the existing town centre and

n the area and as such generally support the use onal access to development within Plan Change

of passive recreation that also create linkages ce from the Council that the Auckland City fields. In this regard HNZC generally supports ided as proposed by plan change 372.



AUCKLAND CENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE PO Box 74598, AUCKLAND 1546 Free phone 0800 801 601

www.hnzc.co.nz

12 December 2014

Regional and Local Planning Auckland Council Private Bag 92300 Auckland 1142 Attention: Planning Technician, Business Services Central

Dear Sir / Madam

Housing New Zealand Corporation Further Submissions on Private Plan Changes PA372 & PA373 to the Auckland Council Operative District Plan – Isthmus Section

On 14 November 2014 Housing New Zealand lodged submissions to both private plan changes PA372 & PA373 to the Auckland Council Operative District Plan – Isthmus Section for the development of the former Winston Aggregates and Mount Roskill Borough Council quarries by Fletcher Residential.

Following a review of the submissions received by Council to the Proposed Plan Changes Housing New Zealand makes the following further submissions as per the attached table.

Please contact the undersigned at the address for service should you have any queries concerning the attached.

Yours sincerely

Brendon Liggett Development Planning Manager Housing New Zealand Corporation

Address for Service:

Housing New Zealand Corporation Private Bag 74598 Greenlane Central Auckland 1546 Attn: Mandy Sheering Email: mandy.sherring@hnzc.co.nz

Submission on a publicly notified proposal for policy

statement or plan change or variation Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991 FORM 5



Correspondence to :				For office use only		
				Subm	ssion No:	
				Receij	ot Date:	
Subr	nitte <u>r details</u>					
Full N	lame of Submitter or Agent (if a	ppl	icable)			
Mr/Ņr	s/Miss/Ms(Full Name)	- 1	Richard Ber	llam	" President	
Organ	nisation Name (if submission is					
Addre	ess for service of the Submitter					
C	10 6 Landscape Ro	८,	Mt Eden, Auck	land	1024	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Telepi	hone: (021) 869 14.8	P	Email: d.be	Man	ry Dauckland oaconz	
Conta	ct Person: (Name and designatio					
0						
	<u>be of submission</u>					
inis i	s a submission to: Plan Change/Variation Number	·	Divil da a		3.70	
	Fian Ghange/Variation Number		Private Plan Cl			
	Plan Change/Variation Name		Further Submiss	ien	on Submission 119	
To the	e (indicate which plan below)		(Antipodea	n P	reporties Ltd).	
Releva	nt District Plan:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Auckland Central		Auckland Gulf Islands	Q.	Auckland Isthmus	
	Franklin		Manukau		North Shore	
	Papakura		Rodney		Waitakere	
Relevant Regional Plan/ Policy Statement:						
	Coastal		Sediment Control		Proposed Air Land Water	
	Farm Dairy Discharges		Transitional Regional Plan		Auckland Regional Policy Statement	
	pecific provisions that my subr e identify the specific parts of the			ſ		
Rule(s			320 101		- 1. J. 7.28	

Rule(s)	Plan Change 372 / Subruission 119
Or Property Address	
Or Map	
Or Other (specify)	

Submission

My submission is: (Please indicate whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)
I support the specific provisions identified above
l oppose the specific provisions identified above
I wish to have the provisions identified above amended Yes 🗌 No 🗍
The reasons for my views are:
Refer Attachment 1.
(continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
I seek the following decision by Council:
Accept the Plan Change/Variation (Largely accept subacission 119)
Accept the Plan Change/Variation with amendments as outlined below
Decline the Plan Change/Variation
If the Plan Change/Variation is not declined, then amend it as outlined below.
I wish to be heard in support of my submission
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing
and Bellan 11/12/14.
Signature of Submitter Date
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
Notes to person making submission:
If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.
Please note that your address is required to be made publicly available under the Resource Management Act 1991, as any further submission supporting or opposing this submission is required to be forwarded to you as well as the council.
If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6 (4) of part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act.
I could Could not G gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission If you <u>could</u> gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the
following:
I am am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of this submission that: (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

Attachment 1

Resource Management Act 1991

Auckland Council District Plan (Auckland City Isthmus Section)

Proposed Private Plan Change 372; further submission by the South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)

The submission to which this further submission refers is:-

Submission number 119 (Antipodean Properties Ltd)

The Society generally supports submission 119 (lodged by Antipodean Properties Ltd) and the relief sought by that party, the relief sought being that Proposed Private Plan Change 373 be declined.

Specifically, the Society supports the reasons provided by Antipodean Properties Ltd in justifying their opposition under their paragraphs (numbers recorded below refer to those in submission 119)

3; 7(a, b, c); 8;10;11;12;14;15(a);17;18;20;22(a, b, c, d);23;24;25;26 (a, b, c);31;32(a)

The Society wishes to be **heard** in support of this further submission.

If others make a similar further submission, the Society would be prepared to consider presenting a Joint case with those parties.

A.R.Bellamy,

President, South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)

11/12/14

Submission on a publicly notified proposal for policy statement or plan change or variation Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991 FORM 5



Correspondence to : For office use only					use only	
					Submi	ssion No:
				:	Receip	t Date:
					-	
				l		
<u>Subr</u>	<u>mitter detail</u>	S				
Full N	lame of Subm	itter or Agent (if a	pplic	able)		
Mr/Mr	s/Miss/Ms(Full	Name)	ed_	Richard Be	Man	y President
		e (if submission is	s on t	pehalf of Organisation)) Ý	
	ess for servic	e of the Submitter				,
0/1	o 6 Lac	drape Ad	. 10	Ut Eden Au	ect la	nd 1024
		(021) 869 1			ellan	y aucthord ouc inz
Conta	act Person: (Na	ame and designatio	n if ap	oplicable)	C	×
<u>Sco</u> j	pe of submi	ssion				
This	is a submissio	on to:				
	Plan Change	/Variation Number	F	viverte Man Ch	lange	373.
	Plan Change	/Variation Name				
To th	e (indicate wh	ich plan below)		(Antipou	lan	on Submission 120 Properties Lod)
	ant District Plan:	, ,		,		7
			_	Augula and Outfilelando		Auckland Isthmus
	Auckland Centre	al		Auckland Gulf Islands	~ د _ا	
	Franklin			Manukau		North Shore
	Papakura			Rodney		Waitakere
Releva	ant Regional Plan	/ Policy Statement:				
	Coastal			Sediment Control		Proposed Air Land Water
	Farm Dairy Dis	charges		Transitional Regional Plan		Auckland Regional Policy Statement
The s Pleas	specific provis	sions that my sub specific parts of the	missi Prop	ion relates to are: osed Plan Change/Variatio	on	
Rule((s)	Plan Ch	an	ae 373/54	bonis	ision 120
<i>Or</i> Prop	erty Address		Ø	y = p =		
Or	•	L				
Мар						
Or						

Submission

Other (specify)

My submission is: (Please indicate whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)
I support the specific provisions identified above 🗹
l oppose the specific provisions identified above
I wish to have the provisions identified above amended Yes 🗌 No 🗍
The reasons for my views are:
Refer Attachment 1.
(continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
I seek the following decision by Council:
Accept the Plan Change/Variation (Largely accept submission 120) Accept the Plan Change/Variation with amendments as outlined below □ Decline the Plan Change/Variation □ If the Plan Change/Variation is not declined, then amend it as outlined below. □
I wish to be heard in support of my submission
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing
Cere-Beeean 11/12/14
Signature of Submitter Date
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
Notes to person making submission:
If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.
Please note that your address is required to be made publicly available under the Resource Management Act 1991, as any further submission supporting or opposing this submission is required to be forwarded to you as well as the council.
If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6 (4) of part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act.
I could □ could not
I am 🗌 am not 🗌 directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of this submission that:
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

Attachment 1

Resource Management Act 1991

Auckland Council District Plan (Auckland City Isthmus Section)

Proposed Private Plan Change 373; further submission by the South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)

The submission to which this further submission refers is:-

Submission number 120 (Antipodean Properties Ltd)

The Society generally supports submission 120 (lodged by Antipodean Properties Ltd) and the relief sought by that party, the relief sought being that Proposed Private Plan Change 373 be substantially modified.

Specifically the Society supports the reasons provided by Antipodean Properties Ltd in seeking relief, namely paragraph numbers (numbers recorded below refer to those in submission 120):-

7(a, b, c); 8,9,11;12(a, b);13 (a, b, c); 14;16(a, b, c, d)

The Society wishes to be **heard** in support of this further submission.

If others make a similar further submission, the Society would be prepared to consider presenting a Joint case with those parties.

A.R.Bellamy,

President, South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)

11/12/14

From:	gbryant@xtra.co.nz
Sent:	Friday, 12 December 2014 11:47 a.m.
То:	District Plans Central
Cc:	gbryant@xtra.co.nz
Subject:	District Plan online further submission

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.



Contact details

Full name: garry bryant Organisation: three kings united group inc. Agent: Phone (daytime): 021 998 305 Phone (evening): Mobile: Email address: <u>gbryant@xtra.co.nz</u> Postal address: 43B Peary Road, Mt Eden Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): Porposed Private Plan Change 373

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Support the submission of: Submission number 120 Submitter name Antipodeans Properties Ltd

I/We: Support these particular parts of the above submission: Specifically the Group supports the reasons provided by Antipodean Properties Ltd in justifying their opposition under their paragraphs numbers as recorded in their submission 120: 7(a,b&c);8;9;11;12(a,b);13(a,b&c);14;16(a,b,c&d)

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is: The Group generally supports submission 120 and the relief sought by Antipodean Properties Ltd, that the Proposed Private Plan Change 373 be substantially modified.

I/We seek that: The whole submission be allowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: Yes

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: Yes

From:	donotreply@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
То:	District Plans Central
Subject:	District Plan online submission
Date:	Friday, 12 December 2014 5:52:26 p.m.
Attachments:	2Antipodean Ltd Further Subs.pdf

Thank you for your submission.

Once submissions close, a summary of submission will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.

Contact details

Full name: Nick Roberts Organisation: Antipodean Properties Limited Agent: Phone (daytime): 093750915 Phone (evening): Mobile: Email address: Postal address: PO Box 1986, Shortland Street , Auckland 1140 Post code: 1140 Date of submission: 12-Dec-2014

Submission details

This is a submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): Further submission on PM372 & 373

Please select the district plan your submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

The specific provision of the plan change/modification that my submission relates to: Further submission - see attached

I/We: Generally support, but seek amendments

The reason for my/our views is: Further submission - see attached

I/We seek the following decision from the council: Accept the plan change/modification with amendments as outlined below Proposed amendments: Further submission - see attached

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: Yes

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: Yes

Attach a supporting document: Antipodean Ltd Further Subs.pdf

I accept by taking part in this public submission process that my submission (including personal details, names and addresses) will be made public: Accept

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6 (4) of part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act:

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission



Submitter	Reference	Relief Sought by Submitter (as summarised by Auckland Council)	Specific Grounds for Support / Opposition	Antipodean Supports or Opposes
Auckland Transport	121/3	 Pedestrian and cycle access be assessed in greater detail and additional provision be provided That an updated ITA be provided with necessary amendments made to the plan change to address traffic safety matters particularly at the proposed changes to Plaza Drive and the new road or driveway opposite Kingsway Parking is assessed in more detail including additional research and an exploration of parking for car share schemes 	 Antipodean generally supports submission 121 for the following reasons: Formal transport infrastructure will be the primary determinant of future movement patterns around Three Kings The roading network will be a significant contributor to the wider open space network 	Supports submission 121 to the extent that it is consistent with Antipodean's submission
Auckland Transport	121/4	• Stormwater issues and a commitment to continue working with AT to resolve them	• The configuration and character of the transport network will be	
Auckland Transport	121/5	 Updated modelling based on the ART3 model Other issues such as details of proposed public lifts and further work on the proposed cross section. Amendments to the plan change provisions to address AT's concerns/comments and any other consequential changes required. 	a key element in integrating quarry and the town centre	
Auckland Transport	122/3	 Pedestrian and cycle access be assessed in greater detail and additional provision be provided That an updated ITA be provided with necessary amendments made to the plan change to address traffic safety matters particularly at the proposed changes to Plaza Drive and the new road or driveway opposite Kingsway Parking is assessed in more detail including 	 Antipodean generally supports submission 121 for the following reasons: Formal transport infrastructure will be the primary determinant of future movement patterns around Three Kings The roading network will be a 	Supports submission 122 to the extent that it is consistent with Antipodean's submission



Auckland Transport	122/4	 additional research and an exploration of parking for car share schemes Stormwater issues and a commitment to continue working with AT to resolve them 	 significant contributor to the wider open space network The configuration and character of the transport network will be a key element in integrating quarry and the town centre 	
Gemma Bridges	126/8	I request that the zoning is modified to specifically allow for Business Activities (including Offices) to take place on Mt Eden Rd – and at least 75% of the road frontage is required to be an 'Active Edge' and not ground floor residences.	 Antipodean generally opposes submission 126/8 for the following reasons: Encouraging activities to be dispersed along Mt Eden Rd will not support a compact walkable environment The future location of activities should not conflict with the town centre's role as focal point for the community 	Opposes 126/8 submission to the extent that it is inconsistent with Antipodean's submission
Gemma Bridges	127/9	I request that the zoning is modified to specifically allow for Business Activities (including Offices) to take place on Mt Eden Rd – and at least 60% of the road frontage is required to be an 'Active Edge' and not ground floor residences.	 Antipodean generally opposes submission 126/9 for the following reasons: Encouraging activities to be dispersed along Mt Eden Rd will not support a compact walkable environment The future location of activities should not conflict with the town centre's role as focal point for the community 	Opposes 127/9 submission to the extent that it is inconsistent with Antipodean's submission
South Epsom Planning Group	159/2	Inviting the applicant to participate in genuine Masterplanning/consultation process with key	Antipodean generally supports matters raised in paragraph 3 of	Supports submission 159/2 to the extent that it



		stakeholders and the community so that the underpinning principles of the Three Kings Plan can be better reflected in a comprehensive Council-initiated Precinct-wide rezoning exercise aimed at resolving boundary issues and adoption of a Three Kings Precinct overlay including the rules and objectives	 Appendix 1 to submission 159. In particular: Development and renewal of the land in the Three Kings Precinct requires a coordinated and comprehensive planning approach PPC372 proposes a pre-emptive approach without consideration of boundary effects and the need for integrated planning If boundary adjustments or land exchanges are to be contemplated for public land, council should investigate the impacts comprehensively and approach all adjacent land owners 	is consistent with Antipodean's submission
South Epsom Planning Group	160/2	Inviting the applicant to participate in genuine Masterplanning/consultation process with key stakeholders and the community so that the underpinning principles of the Three Kings Plan can be better reflected in a comprehensive Council-initiated Precinct-wide rezoning exercise aimed at resolving boundary issues and adoption of a Three Kings Precinct overlay including the rules and objectives	 Antipodean generally supports matters raised in paragraph 3 of Appendix 1 to submission 160. In particular: Development and renewal of the land in the Three Kings Precinct requires a coordinated and comprehensive planning approach PPC372 proposes a pre-emptive approach without consideration of boundary effects and the 	Supports submission 160/2 to the extent that it is consistent with Antipodean's submission



			 need for integrated planning If boundary adjustments or land exchanges are to be contemplated for public land, council should investigate the impacts comprehensively and approach all adjacent land owners 	
Watercare Services Ltd	167/1	 The wastewater pump station design needs to be considered at the detailed design stage to optimise the balance of 'pass forward peak flows and storage' to remain within the capacity of the receiving network as discussed in 5.3 of the Harrison and Grierson Infrastructure Report (dated 1st September 2014). Given the proposed Plan Changes would bring forward current Watercare timelines, it is expected there will be implications for the applicant. Therefore Watercare would require definitive staging of the Three Kings proposal to properly qualify a cost-share agreement in line with their development yield. Watercare would like to clarify that it will be the developer's responsibility to install appropriate 	Antipodean generally supports submission 167/1 in that the plan change provides an opportunity to unlock additional capacity in the infrastructure network however further detail and control is required to ensure a high standard of amenity	Supports submission 167/1 to the extent that it is consistent with Antipodean's submission
lain and Joanne Bremner	178/2	There is a general concern that the proposed provisions do not enable a full and robust assessment to ensure that the outcomes of the Concept Plan are in fact achieved.	Antipodean generally supports submission 178/8 , 177/3 & 178/8 in that the plan changes:	Supports submission 178/8, 177/3 & 178/8 to the extent that it is consistent with

lain and Joanne Bremner	178/3	In the absence of such provisions at the policy framework level the assessment of proposals may focus on outcomes within the site without adequate consideration of adverse effects at the interface and beyond.	 Provides inadequate consideration of how future development in the quarry will interface with surrounding land currently provide insufficient control regarding the location 	Antipodean's submission
lain and Joanne Bremner	178/8	Additional provisions are required to ensure that proposals are assessed in terms of their impacts on adjoining residential land including shadowing, privacy and overlooking, building scale and dominance, intensity, character and amenity.	and design of built form to ensure a high quality outcome	
Housing New Zealand Corporation	191/1	 Accept the plan change/modification with amendments. Areas where Housing New Zealand seeks changes to policies, rules and maps of Plan Change 373 relate to: The provision of minimum wastewater holding capacity at any pump station. Minor changes to the wording relating to sightlines to Te Tatua a Riukiuta (Big King). The design of stormwater infrastructure should take account of land uses on sites adjoining the plan change area. The detailed design of development should take account of the interface with existing developments and the relationship with open space 	Antipodean generally supports submission 191/1. In particular, the idea that the detailed design of development, including stormwater and wastewater infrastructure, should take into account the interface with adjacent development	Supports submission 191 to the extent that it is consistent with Antipodean's submission

From:	Linda Vink
To:	Susanne Tapsell
Subject:	Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Further Submission Plan Modifications 372 & 373
Date:	Wednesday, 10 December 2014 9:57:36 a.m.
Attachments:	AVCS FS PM372 & PM373.pdf

Good morning Susanne,

The council website does not allow further submissions to be uploaded, so please find **attached** the Auckland Volcanic Cones Society's further submission to Plan modifications 372 & 373.

This further submission relates to the Auckland Isthmus District Plan.

The Society opposes the original submissions as follows:

157 Ngati Te Ata Waiohua163 Te Kawerau Iwi Tribal Authority236 Ngati Tamaoho

The Society seeks that part of the above submissions be disallowed.

The Society wishes to be heard at the council planning hearing.

The contact person for this issue is Greg Smith, tel 09 524 2926. The postal address for the Society is:

29 Mt St John Ave Epsom Auckland 1051

Can you please confirm that you have received this further submission.

Kind regards, Linda Vink Secretary Auckland Volcanic Cones Society DDI: 09 520 2858 Mob: 021 203 8982



Further submission No 7 Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Inc

> 29 Mt St John Ave., Epsom, Auckland

Tel: 09 524 5443 Fax: 09 307 7987

Patron: Lady Hillary Q.S.M.

Te Kopuke

Further Submission to Plan Modifications 372 and 373

- The Society's further submission relates to original submission numbers: 157 Ngati Te Ata Waiohua 163 Te Kawerau lwi Tribal Authority 236 Ngati Tamaoho
- The Society acknowledges that all three submissions offer only partial support for these proposals and that no iwi has yet taken a final position. In many ways this is similar to the Society. We have stated that with quarrying finished, the site will have to be put to another use.
- The Society is supportive of a strong Maori element to the landscaping and visual interpretation of the site. We offer as proof of this the final design at Puketapapa which was achieved after consultation with iwi.
- 4. Our concern with the iwi submissions is whether enough consideration has been given to the spatial aspects of the landscape treatment as opposed to the more detailed design that should come after. There is a cart before the horse element.
- All iwi submissions tend to favour Plan Change 372. The Society favours Plan Change 373. We are very concerned about the loss of Western Park. As stated in our submission, this does have considerable landscape value for the maunga.

- 6. A considerable number of people can be housed in the area using either plan change, but the desired level of population in this development should be driven by the land itself. The Society submits that Three Kings is an historic, culturally important, sensitive volcanic landscape and this should be the principal factor guiding the design. This may result in a lower number of people living there than the applicant wishes.
- 7. Like iwi, the Society has supported a playing field in the quarry. However, like other submitters, we have recommended that it be put at the northern end of the site. This would "fit into" the mountain better, especially if proper remedial work was undertaken on the precipitous cut quarry face on the eastern side of the maunga.
- 8. The Society noted the offer by Fletchers at the recent Maunga Authority meeting, of this cut quarry face to iwi for inclusion in the maunga reserve. This quarry face is controversial. It is not an original landscape feature and it is questionable as to what value it brings to the site. Having to plant it out, irrespective of what species of plant are used, is indicative of a problem. We would ask the iwi to give very careful consideration to this matter.
- The Society would contend that a gentler restored slope on this part of Te Tatua a Riukiuta would be a better outcome than a large ladder arrangement for accessing the maunga from Mt Eden Road.
- 10. The Society is concerned that iwi have offered only their partial support to the plan changes. With this in mind, the Society does not consider it is the green light that the applicant thinks it is. To our mind, it is not just a matter of a few minor adjustments to gain full acceptance. No iwi has accepted any design as a final version.
- 11. The Society still considers that, until there is a better overall landscape understanding, both plan changes should be declined.

S.L. Donich

G L Smith Executive Committee

From:	clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com
To:	District Plans Central
Cc:	clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com
Subject:	District Plan online further submission
Date:	Friday, 12 December 2014 11:44:31 p.m.

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.

?

Contact details

Full name: Clinton Ulyatt Organisation: Agent: Phone (daytime): 099661856 Phone (evening): 096240895 Mobile: 021442295 Email address: clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com Postal address: 4 Kingsway, Three Kings Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): 372

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Support the submission of: Submission number 127/1 Submitter name Gemma Bridges

I/We: Support these particular parts of the above submission:

...We wish to see a Masterplan prepared for the entire Three Kings Precinct area, including input from all Stakeholders including the community. We wish to see the site contoured differently – to allow for direct and accessible walkways and cycleways through the site for the community, and better integration with the town centre and surrounding neighbourhood. We wish to see the maunga restored on its eastern slopes. We wish to see a significant nett increase in Public Open Space and better

integration with the existing park. We wish the applicant to consult with the community in a meaningful way. That a masterplan be prepared for the entire Three Kings Precinct area, (including Big King, other reserves, the shopping precinct, and the surrounding neighbourhood), in conjunction with all stakeholders including the community.

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is:

I/We seek that: The whole submission be allowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: No

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: Yes

clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com
District Plans Central
clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com
District Plan online further submission
Friday, 12 December 2014 11:36:31 p.m.

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.

?

Contact details

Full name: Clinton Ulyatt Organisation: Agent: Phone (daytime): 099661856 Phone (evening): 096240895 Mobile: 02144295 Email address: clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com Postal address: 4 Kingsway, Three Kings Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): 372

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Oppose the submission of: Submission number 76/1 Submitter name Lucy Mackintosh

I/We: Oppose these particular parts of the above submission: Accept the plan change/modification with amendments as outlined below. For the reasons previously outlined, I support the provisions that maximise the area zoned Open Space 3 (Organised Recreation) to assist Cornwall Cricket Club with its future needs, surrounding playing facilities and to cater for its large and increasing membership. My submission specifically relates to the optimisation of Open Space 3 and I do not wish to support, nor oppose, any other aspect of the Private Plan change.

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is: The Open Space 3 - could be better utilised by the broader community rather than an monopolised by a singular sorting group. The local community would be better served by the creation of facilities that cater for recreational activities which are not weather dependant or limited to use in only the summer season (and lie unused the remainder of the time.)

I/We seek that: The whole submission be disallowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: No

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: Yes

From:	clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com
To:	District Plans Central
Cc:	clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com
Subject:	District Plan online further submission
Date:	Friday, 12 December 2014 10:52:45 p.m.

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.

?

Contact details

Full name: Clinton Ulyatt Organisation: Agent: Phone (daytime): 099661856 Phone (evening): 096240895 Mobile: 021442295 Email address: clinton.ulyatt@gmail.com Postal address: 4 Kingsway, Three Kings, Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): 372, 373

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Support the submission of: Submission number 126 Submitter name Gemma Bridges

I/We: Support these particular parts of the above submission: I request that the zoning is modified to specifically allow for Business Activities (including Offices) to take place on Mt Eden Rd – and at least 75% of the road frontage is required to be an 'Active Edge' and not ground floor residences. I also request that a Landscape Plan be prepared – that includes the necessity for large trees to be planted down the Mt Eden Rd frontage – to form a tree lined Boulevard?

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is:

I/We seek that: The whole submission be allowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: No

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission:

Yes

From:	jessica.ulyatt@gmail.com
То:	District Plans Central
Cc:	jessica.ulyatt@gmail.com
Subject:	District Plan online further submission
Date:	Saturday, 13 December 2014 12:17:33 a.m.

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.

?

Contact details

Full name: Jessica Ulyatt Organisation: Agent: Phone (daytime): 099166718 Phone (evening): 096240895 Mobile: 021575886 Email address: jessica.ulyatt@gmail.com Postal address: 4 Kingsway, Three Kings Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): 372

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Support the submission of: Submission number 17 Submitter name Clinton Ulyatt

I/We: Support these particular parts of the above submission:

1) Inadequate public access. A lack of clarity around guaranteed provision of ramps from the new subdivision to Mt Eden Road and Kingsway intersection. The current **Comparison of the current** chair access needs to be a mandatory inclusion to facilitate pedestrian access for the broader community. 2) The green area and Koru landscaping is a cosmetic inclusion and has limited value as a public amenity other than as a walkway. Alternative uses

such as bike park, all weather venue, indoor sports venue or theatre hall need to be explored.

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is:

I/We seek that: The whole submission be allowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: No

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission:

Yes

From:	gbryant@xtra.co.nz
Sent:	Friday, 12 December 2014 12:20 p.m.
То:	District Plans Central
Cc:	gbryant@xtra.co.nz
Subject:	District Plan online further submission

Once further submissions close, a summary of submissions and further submissions will be prepared. At a later date, Auckland Council will hold hearings to consider all submissions.

If you selected to be heard at a hearing then we will be in touch when hearings are scheduled.

If you have any questions, please contact us on 09 301 0101.



Contact details

Full name: garry bryant Organisation: three kings united group inc. Agent: Phone (daytime): 021 998 305 Phone (evening): Mobile: Email address: <u>gbryant@xtra.co.nz</u> Postal address: 43B Peary Road, Mt Eden Post code: 1024 Date of further submission: 12-Dec-2014

Further submission details

This is a further submission on the following plan change/modification (state plan change/modification name and number): Porposed Private Plan Changes 372 & 373

Please select the district plan your further submission relates to: Auckland Isthmus

I/We: Support the submission of: Submission number 166 Submitter name Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Inc

I/We: Support these particular parts of the above submission: The Group supports the reasons provided by Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Inc in justifying their opposition under all parts of their submission 166.

The reason for my /our support or opposition to the above submission is:

The Group supports submission 166 and the relief sought by Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Inc, that the Proposed Private Plan Changes 372 and 373 be declined.

I/We seek that: The whole submission be allowed

I/We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing: Yes

I/We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission: Yes