Decision requested	Submission no
That two sets of documents be produced, one for Waiheke, one for Great	40/1
Barrier (and any other smaller islands).	
Comprehensively re-review the entire plan taking into account community	64/1, 87/1, 88/1, 90/1, 91/1, 92/1, 99/1,
views (in particular, the views of the Great Barrier community).	101/1,103/1, 104/1, 175/1, 238/1, 240/1,
views (in particular, the views of the creat barrier community).	246/1, 267/1, 286/1, 287/1, 288/1, 289/1,
	290/1, 309/1, 310/1, 311/1, 312/1, 313/1,
	317/1, 318/1, 319/1, 320/1, 321/1, 322/1,
	342/1, 343/1, 344/1, 345/1, 346/1, 347/1,
	348/1, 349/1, 350/1, 386/1, 475/1, 1304/1,
	1332/1, 1342/1, 1345/1, 1380/1, 1389/1,
	1402/1, 1405/1, 1406/1, 1471/1, 1558/1,
	1590/1, 1938/1, 1957/1, 1993/1, 1994/1,
	1995/1, 1996/1, 1997/1, 1998/1, 1999/1,
	2000/1, 2003/1, 2011/1, 2012/1, 2013/1,
	2014/1, 2015/1, 2016/1, 2017/1, 2018/1,
	2019/1, 2020/1, 2021/1, 2022/1, 2023/1,
	2024/1, 2025/1, 2026/1, 2027/1, 2028/1,
	2029/1, 2030/1, 2031/1, 2032/1, 2033/1,
	2034/1, 2035/1, 2036/1, 2037/1, 2038/1,
	2039/1, 2040/1, 2041/1, 2053/1, 2081/1,
	2083/1, 2086/1, 2175/1, 2189/1, 2200/1,
	2217/1, 2218/1, 2258/1, 2323/1, 2329/1,
	2339/1, 2351/1, 2358/1, 2359/1, 2400/1,
	2413/1, 2479/1, 2496/1, 2539/1, 2540/1,
	2587/1, 2588/1, 2600/1, 2601/1, 2602/1,
	2603/1, 2604/1, 2605/1, 2606/1, 2607/1,
	2608/1, 2609/1, 2610/1, 2611/1, 2612/1,
	2613/1, 2614/1, 2615/1, 2616/1, 2617/1,
	2618/1, 2619/1, 2626/1, 2633/2, 2634/1,
	2847/1, 3028/1, 3038/1, 3040/1, 3041/1,
	3042/1, 3043/1, 3044/1, 3054/1, 3055/1,
	3056/1, 3057/1, 3059/1, 3060/1, 3062/1,
	3063/1, 3064/1, 3065/1, 3066/1, 3067/1,
	3068/1, 3072/1, 3073/1, 3074/1, 3099/1,
	3100/1, 3101/1, 3114/1, 3116/1, 3120/1,
	3128/1, 3131/1, 3141/1, 3142/1, 3155/1,
	3158/1, 3159/1, 3160/1, 3161/1, 3249/1, 3586/1, 3758/1, 3828/1
Zonings to reflect land use.	68/1
The whole new Plan should be rewritten around the existing land usage -	129/3, 130/3, 132/3, 172/3, 237/3, 241/3,
reflecting what we are doing on our properties.	242/3, 243/3, 244/3, 270/3, 271/3, 272/3,
Tenecting what we are doing on our properties.	274/3, 275/3, 3425/3, 3426/3, 3427/3,
	3428/3, 3429/3, 3430/3, 3431/3, 3432/3,
	3433/3, 3434/3, 3435/3, 3436/3, 3437/3,
	3438/3, 3439/3, 3440/3, 3441/3, 3442/3,
	3443/3, 3444/3, 3445/3, 3446/3, 3447/3,
	3448/3, 3449/3, 3450/3, 3451/3, 3452/3,
	3453/3, 3454/3, 3455/3, 3456/3, 3457/3,
	3458/3, 3459/3, 3460/3, 3461/3, 3462/3,
	3463/3, 3464/3, 3465/3, 3466/3, 3467/3,
	3468/3, 3469/3, 3470/3, 3471/3, 3472/3,
	3473/3, 3474/3, 3475/3, 3476/3, 3477/3,
	3478/3, 3479/3, 3480/3, 3481/3, 3482/3,
	3483/3, 3484/3, 3485/3, 3486/3, 3488/3,
	3489/3, 3490/3, 3491/3, 3492/3, 3493/3,
	3494/3, 3495/3, 3496/3, 3497/3, 3498/3,
	3499/3, 3500/3, 3501/3, 3502/3, 3503/3,
	3504/3, 3505/3, 3506/3, 3507/3, 3511/3,
	3581/3, 3851/3, 3857/3
To have the new zoning process axed and do any further documentation with adequate consultation and on site - individual case by case	133/1
assessment.	280/2
The whole Plan should be written around existing land usage.	280/3
The exclusion of Great Barrier from the Plan.	351/1
The drafting of a Plan specifically for Great Barrier.	351/2
Separate sections of the Plan for Great Barrier and Waiheke.	363/1 553/1
That the whole Plan and the process by which it is being developed, being an undemocratic process and one which lacks integrity, be postponed until	000/1

Decision requested	Submission no
such time as the governance of Waiheke community affairs is vested	
directly in the Waiheke community instead of the council.	
Withdraw the entire Plan.	560/1
Opposes the entire Plan and seeks that full consideration be given to	615/1
redrafting the Plan after any amendment to the text, maps or appendices,	
are made as a consequence of submissions to ensure that the new	
operative Plan is a workable document for lay people to use, understand	
and have confidence in.	
Respond in a way consistent with (a) to (c) below then implement a further	659/1, 722/1, 1014/1, 1203/1, 1700/1,
round of community consultation followed by submissions. Opposes the	1701/1, 1702/1, 1703/1, 3184/1, 3199/1,
whole Plan on the grounds that the council has not (a) made any effort to	3202/1, 3219/1, 3295/1, 3296/1, 3379/1
show exactly how the proposed Plan differs from the operative Plan (b) made insufficient effort to show how the directions of the focus groups	
were incorporated into the proposed Plan and (c) provided an easy to use	
summary for the assistance of potential submitters.	
That the Plan be restructured on the basis of catchments.	664/2
To remove, withdraw, delete all the sections, clauses and sub clauses,	666/1
maps and annotations and appendices of the Plan and replace them with	
the operative Plan in the short term and continue with the rolling review	
process in the interim before collaboratively producing a new proposed	
Plan within 5-10 years.	
Incorporate the entire "Essentially Waiheke" strategy into the HGI (some	679/1
debate needed re new village) and start again with community	
consultation.	747/1
To remove, withdraw, delete all the sections, clauses and sub clauses,	747/1
maps and annotations and appendices of the Plan and replace them with the Plan in the short term and continue with the rolling review process in	
the interim before collaboratively producing a new proposed Plan within 5-	
10 years.	
That Auckland City reviews and modifies the operative Plan in line with	770/1
legitimate community representations and with proper input from affected	77011
property owners, development professionals and the well-documented	
aspirations of community groups.	
Auckland City to set a new time line for reviewing the operative Plan that is	770/2
available for initial submission by the community in April 2007.	
That the council revert to its original and stated intention (through the	773/1, 777/1, 780/1
workshop and consultation phase) of reviewing and modifying the	
operative Plan in line with legitimate community representations and with	
proper input from affected property owners, development professionals	
and the well-documented aspirations of community groups. Oppose the Plan in its entirety as being too confusing and difficult for the	898/13
average person to comprehend, follow, interpret and therefore adhere to. It	890/13
does not therefore meet the fundamental criteria of informed governance.	
The whole Plan is unacceptable on the basis that it doesn't meet the	899/1
central driver of the RMA which is to enable communities to manage their	
resources sustainably. It does not sufficiently recognise the community	
and does not sufficiently recognise the islands of the gulf.	
Review the Plan process in response to concerns about:	953/1
a) Not sufficiently engaging egalitarian or democratic methodologies	
b) Double standards	
c) A failure to meet the standards set by the operative Plan	
 d) Insincere process with regard to community interests a) The proposed Plan does not sufficiently protect the Hauraki Gulf and 	
e) The proposed Plan does not sufficiently protect the Hauraki Gulf and Waiheke; it has little to no mention of identifying climate change issues	
f) Lack of access to members of the community (stakeholders too) who	
may not understand the technical material used in the proposed Plan	
g) Lack of evidence of a sustainable and integrated management of	
natural, physical, cultural, and historical/heritage resources by	
providing an overview of Waiheke's / the Hauraki Gulf's resource	
management issues, policies and methods, that especially includes	
managing and controlling water resources and catchments, based on	
environmental methodologies and reporting that is made accessible to	
the public	
h) The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park as a whole does not seem addressed	
Then implement a further round of community consultation followed by	
submissions. That the Plan should be declined.	1103/1
The removal of the Hudson Landscape report, followed by a peer review	1148/1
and further community consultation so that a community mandated	ועדוו
and farmer community consultation so that a community manualed	

Decision requested	Submission no
landscape assessment can be reintroduced into the plan so as to give	
effect to the provisions of the Resource Management Act and the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000.	
That the council recognize that the only true way to "enable" our	1207/1
community and our people to provide for their well-being is to allow us to	
write our own proposed district plan. Following our completion of our Plan, we would then allow council to make submissions upon it, which we could	
consider for inclusion into the Plan	
Withdraw the proposal, and comply with the requirements of section 32(1)	1243/1
of the Resource Management Act 1991 in the preparation of a new	
Proposed Plan.	
Incorporate the requests made in this submission into the Proposed Plan,	1243/2
treating the Proposed Plan as a discussion document, withdraw and renotify the Proposed Plan.	
Return to the existing plan with the discretionary aspects tightened to	1281/5
really protect the landscape environment for the existing community.	
The splitting off the Great Barrier provisions separate to the Waiheke	1287/2
provisions may be necessary to facilitate appropriate relief to these	
submissions. Waiheke Island is not just another part of Auckland City Council! We are	1690/3
part of the Hauraki Gulf, sitting in the Marine Park and this fact need to be	1030/3
recognised and should underpin the whole HGI section of the proposed	
Plan.	
Removal of Great Barrier Island from the HGI notified plan	1911/1, 3058/1
Consultation with the community and the community board for the development of a specific Great Barrier Island plan for preparation over	1911/2
2007 and finalisation in 2008-9	
A much smaller less prescriptive plan that represents the ratepayers	2525/1
interest.	
That the proposed plan should be declined	2564/1
The plan should be amended to provide more appropriate explanations	2723/1
and definitive interpretation of rules for the general public to understand (with specific reference to part 10).	
The Plan should undergo a peer review to recognize and respond to areas	2723/2
of the plan that can be presented/interpreted in a more comprehensible	
manner.	0700/4
The withdrawal of the Proposed Plan The Plan be adopted, subject to some specific amendments that are	2732/1 2733/1
sought by the submitter, or amendments which give effect to Progressive's	2733/1
concerns as set out in their submission.	
The plan must be determined and redesigned by the affected communities	2760/4
to incorporate these concerns (relating to social, cultural, economics and	
health & safety issues) at every level of the Plan. As with all areas of government, the Plan must actively promote and respect all other statutory	
principles in the Bill of Rights, the Human Rights Act, the Privacy Act, and	
all of the principles of transparency and accountability, including the	
Official Information and Meetings Act. The plan must serve to promote and	
protect all the civil rights and the areas of wellbeing specified by the Acts.	0700/7
The proposed Plan must be set aside, pending a full and proper democratic decision-making process, based on the detailed and specific	2763/7
requirements of part 6 of the LGA.	
Given the total failure of proper consultation in the design and presentation	2763/8
of the proposed plan, the proposed plan and submissions must have the	
status only as reference documents for the full, due and proper	
consideration of the affected and interested people and communities. The Plan must be rewritten in the language which originates from	2766/5
consultation with Hauraki Gulf Island people and properly reflects their	_,
views, preferences, needs and values, as the Acts (RMA and LGA)	
require.	0700/0
In order to explore the appropriate language and priorities of the HGI,	2766/6
Council must immediately instigate an audit of the proposed Plan and an open and transparent review of the Operative Plan which properly reflects	
the statutory requirements.	
To avoid the adverse effects resulting from the misconceptions of "Issues,	2766/11
objectives policies, etc" the Plans should be audited in its entirety for the	
purpose of eliminating unnecessary rules and regulations, to mitigate adverse social, cultural and economic effects of over-regulation.	
The Plan should be rejected in its entirety	2796/2
A review of the Plan in its entirety	2906/2
<u> </u>	

In consultation with the GBI Community and Community Board develop a Great Barrier Island specific district plan for notification over 2007 and finalisation in 2008/9. 3058/2 That the Plan be scrapped and a replacement plan be developed in close collaboration with the community, that meets the community outcomes described in submission 3061 as a whole. 3061/1 Disagrees with the whole plan as presented by the Council for Great Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3087/1 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3104/9 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan : 3396/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan ande to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 3654/1 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 3654/1 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1	Decision requested	Submission no
Great Barrier Island specific district plan for notification over 2007 and finalisation in 2008/9. 3061/1 That the Plan be scrapped and a replacement plan be developed in close collaboration with the community, that meets the community outcomes described in submission 3061 as a whole. 3061/1 Disagrees with the whole plan as presented by the Council for Great Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3087/1 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3104/9 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: 3396/1 The proposed plan : 3106/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3654/1 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 3654/1 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 70 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local popu		
That the Plan be scrapped and a replacement plan be developed in close collaboration with the community, that meets the community outcomes described in submission 3061 as a whole. 3061/1 Disagrees with the whole plan as presented by the Council for Great Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3087/1 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3104/9 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan : 3396/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3654/1 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 3654/1 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 3654/1 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1		
collaboration with the community, that meets the community outcomes described in submission 3061 as a whole.3087/1Disagrees with the whole plan as presented by the Council for Great Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle.3087/1Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community.3104/9Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified.3106/1Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan :3396/11. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).3396/12. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan3654/13. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.3654/14. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.3655/1To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.3655/1	finalisation in 2008/9.	
described in submission 3061 as a whole. 3087/1 Disagrees with the whole plan as presented by the Council for Great Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3087/1 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3104/9 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan : 3396/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3406/1 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 3654/1 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 3654/1 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1 That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 3655/1	That the Plan be scrapped and a replacement plan be developed in close	3061/1
Disagrees with the whole plan as presented by the Council for Great 3087/1 Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny 3087/1 sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3104/9 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3106/1 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: 3396/1 The proposed plan : 3396/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3654/1 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 3654/1 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 3654/1 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1 That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 3655/1	collaboration with the community, that meets the community outcomes	
Barrier. Let us have our island back or let us split up our land into tiny sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3104/9 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3106/1 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: 3396/1 The proposed plan : 3106/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 4000000000000000000000000000000000000	described in submission 3061 as a whole.	
sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban jungle. 3104/9 Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3104/9 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: 3396/1 The proposed plan : 3396/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 4 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 3654/1 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1 That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 3655/1		3087/1
jungle.3104/9Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community.3104/9Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified.3106/1Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan :3396/11. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).3396/12. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan83. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.3654/14. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.3654/1To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.3655/1That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.3655/1		
Provide a specific plan suited to Great Barrier Island in consultation with the community. 3104/9 Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified. 3106/1 Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan : 3396/1 1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). 3396/1 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 3654/1 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1 That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 3655/1	sections to sell and make money to pay for us to leave and join the urban	
the community.3106/1Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified.3106/1Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan :3396/11. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).3396/12. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan43. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.44. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.3654/1To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.3655/1That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.3655/1		
Generally confirming the provisions in the plan as notified.3106/1Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan :3396/11. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).3396/12. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan43. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.44. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.3654/1To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.3655/1		3104/9
Respond to the following items in a meaningful way, understandable to ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan :3396/11. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).3396/12. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan33. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.3654/14. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.3654/1To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.3655/1		
ordinary (but interested) people: The proposed plan :1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.		
The proposed plan :1. Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc).2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.		3396/1
 Is not put in the language for ordinary people (ie non planners, non lawyers, etc). Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 		
 lawyers, etc). 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 		
 2. Does not clearly and specifically state the views of the focus groups or show how their views were incorporated in the plan 3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 		
show how their views were incorporated in the plan3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.		
3. There is no reasonably simple way to see what changes have been made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs. 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. 3654/1 To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3655/1 That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 3655/1		
made to the present plan, to know how the proposed plan differs.4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with.To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.		
4. The cross referencing in many sections is impossible to deal with. To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population. 3654/1 That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners. 3655/1		
To scrap the proposed plan entirely and come up with a plan that is amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.3654/1That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.3655/1		
amenable to be a rural offshore island such as the old GBICC scheme plan or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.		2654/1
or the current Chatham Islands plan with input from the local population.That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been consultation with the affected land owners.3655/1		3034/1
That no changes be made to the operative district plan until there has been 3655/1 consultation with the affected land owners.		
consultation with the affected land owners.		2655/1
		5055/1
I hat the Plan he scranned and a replacement Plan he developed in close 13/15/5	That the Plan be scrapped and a replacement Plan be developed in close	3715/5
collaboration with the community, that addresses the issues raised		5715/5
(regarding the need to: include a new part 15 Sustainable Management		
issues & methodologies; give effect to the HGMPA 2000, & give priority to		
social wellbeing/community outcomes) and meets the community		
outcomes described.		
Council should rewrite the Plan in a coherent way to enable people readily 3726/1		3726/1
to understand the proposal as it affects them, and to contribute effectively		
to the philosophy, design and detail of the plan.		