

District Plan Further Submission Form

in support of or in opposition to a submission
Proposed Hauraki Gulf Islands Section 2006

Please print clearly Full name: <i>Sean Jim Alhea</i> Organisation: (if the submission is on behalf of an organisation) Agent: (if applicable) Address for correspondence: <i>60 B Luton Ave Pakuranga</i> Phone: (business) (home) <i>5763565</i> (fax) e-mail address:	For office use only
	Submission No.
	1883
	CITY DEVELOPMENT
	28 MAY 2007 AUCKLAND CITY

Please use a separate form for each separate matter for submission

I / We support / oppose the submission of:
(name and address of original submitter and submission number of original submission if available)

John + Jenni Ogden
123 Aotea Road
Ahuna
GBI Submission number *3047*

The particular parts of the above submission which I / we support / oppose are:
(clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)

Adding further policy
Limiting activity

The reasons for ~~our~~ our support or opposition to the above submission are:

They do NOT foster human interaction with in the environment.

I / We seek

- the whole submission be allowed
- the whole submission be disallowed
- part of the submission be allowed
- part of the submission be disallowed

I / We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing Yes No

I / We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission Yes No

Signed *Sean Jim Alhea* Date *24-5-07*

District Plan Submission Form

Proposed Hauraki Gulf Islands Scheme 2006

Further Sub No. 1

Please enter clearly

Full name

TOMMY OGDEN

Organisation (if the submission is on behalf of an organisation)

Agent: (if applicable)

Address for correspondence:

123 Aotua Rd., Great Barrier Island

Phone: (business)

(home) 09(4290 910) (fax)

e-mail address:

j.ogden@auckland.ac.nz

For office use only

Submission No.

3047

CITY DEVELOPMENT

REC 2007
28 MAY 2007

AUCKLAND CITY

Please use a separate form for each separate matter for submission

The specific provision that my submission relates to is:

Note: clearly identify the particular paragraph, objective, policy, rule or map.

MAPS 54 (2) - 55 (2) CLIFFS & KNITIKE BEACH.

Designation of Sensitive areas and Sites of Ecological Significance

note: ~~Map 112~~

*Oppose
Jean O'Brien*

RECEIVED
11 DEC 2006

continued on reverse



Auckland City

1/ We support amendments to the plan. The reasons for my / our views are
Note: the planning committee will only consider the content of the plan. Any matters raised which do not directly relate to the plan will be treated as being not "on" the plan or "outside the scope" of the plan and will not be considered.

I believe that the Kaitake basin and surrounding system (54-1) are correctly designated as sensitive areas. They are also "coastal hazard" zones.

I also comment the designation of the Kaitake swamps and saline wetlands (54-1 & 54-2) as S.E.S.

Note that these areas are, totally or partially, within the Claris Settlement Area. The Claris ^{catchment area} ~~catchment~~ area will require exceptionally careful management of landforms, vegetation and hydrology.

2/ We seek the following decision from the council (give precise details, and clearly identify the amendments you seek)
Note: the planning committee will only consider the content of the plan. Any matters raised which do not directly relate to the plan will be treated as being not "on" the plan or "outside the scope" of the plan and will not be considered.

1b. 7.4 Policy

and a further policy as follows:

1. By ~~stating~~ ^{recognising} that landforms and vegetation cover in the area are linked by hydrology, and limiting activities that might detrimentally affect surface and subsurface water movement and storage.

~~and~~ This policy could be linked to the policies in 10b.7.6 also.

I have checked and confirmed information

Yes

No

1/ We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing

Yes

No

2/ We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission

Yes

No

Signed

J. Ogden

Date

8/14/06

Sean O'Shea Overview

28-5-07

We know and understand the HGI needs a distinct plan. We know the area is a special place with amazing elements and features. We have seen the people who have lived the humble rural lifestyle along side these elements and features. Some times the beauty of a place can be the same thing that kills it or restricts it. We have an opportunity here on

Barrier to allow communities to grow along side the 70% of Doc. land and have a great unique population of barrier people.

These people would maintain the heritage of Barrier Survival and in that survival the Flora and Fauna also survive with people on side not people legislated over. The people have to embody the laws Council creates and for this to happen the people have to get some thing out of the Submission process many of us have set aside 60-80 hrs and read 50% of the Submissions and its a lot of work. The bulk of Submissions are asking for some relaxation on the Island. Warkella is a very different animal. With all the Thrush's of Suburban life and opportunity. Barrier has long cold winters with no opportunity other than being happy with what where you are. Barrier is always going to be looked after. The blood is in the ground. Rural Barrier does need growth. There has to be 4ha Subdivision. I'm not worried about manuka rights because I believe people have the right to live and need feel what I'm worried about is land map abuses, Subdivision minimum lot sizes, existing use rights, closing paper roads, land use rights. S.E.S. SA. Heritage. etc. People have the right to be off there land. This could be the beginning of a large legal battle or it could be all about being as a community.

Please see the words are written by people who know regards Sean O'Shea.