

District Plan Submission Form

Proposed Hauraki Gulf Islands Section 2006

Please print clearly

Full name: *Sean Jeremiah Mike O'Shea*

Organisation: (if the submission is on behalf of an organisation)

Further Sub No. *1930*

Agent: (if applicable)

Address for correspondence: *PO Box 9 Claris SBT*

Phone: (business) (home) (fax)

e-mail address:

For office use only

Submission No.

2714

CITY DEVELOPMENT

17 DEC 2006

AUCKLAND CITY

Please use a separate form for each separate matter for submission

The specific provision that my submission relates to is:

Note: clearly identify the particular paragraph, objective, policy, rule or map.

28 MAY 2007

AUCKLAND CITY

*I Oppose The Character of The Plan as proposed
due to its overriding priority on conservation and
landscape value.*

** The process on consultation
— especially land zones.*

** Where the push for Heritage come from*

** Land owner rights + interests*

** The District plans ability to satisfy Section
10 of the RMA.*

** Virtually no recognition of local value*

*X No recognition of want for extended
time*

** The nature and intent of control and
mechanisms.*

*I Colleen O'Shea support this
submission*

C O'Shea

continued on reverse



Auckland City

I support oppose seek amendments to the plan. The reasons for my / our views are:
 Note: if you generally support the plan but seek some amendments to it you should tick both the "support" and the "seek amendments" box. If you oppose the plan but seek amendments as an alternative to deleting the specific provision, you should tick both the "oppose" and the "seek amendments" box.

- Need more time to work out implications
- Need to see what control or effect they create
- Define the difference between heritage and archaeological
- There's heaps of protection already what is this extra idea of heritage.

I seek the following decision from the council (give precise details, and clearly identify the amendments you seek)
 Note: the decision requested from council should only relate to the content of the plan. Any matters raised which do not directly relate to the plan will be treated as being not "on" the plan or "outside the scope" of the plan and will not be considered.

I seek firstly time.
 Secondly negotiation to try and clear land from the zone.
 Thirdly, consultation.
 fourth - the ability to challenge the validity of the zone on pieces of land with little or no reason.
 Surely there has to be a reason
 not to mention the token of world heritage zone.
 I am against the world heritage zone

I have attached additional information Yes No

I / We wish to be heard at the council planning hearing Yes No

I / We would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with any others making a similar submission Yes No

Signed

Dean Whelan

Date

11 - 12 - 06

