no.	Isle	keyword	Concerns	Code
168		Viticulture	Greater control of effects of viticulture and wineries. Develop assessment criteria. Resource consents for restaurants and wineries in lu 20, 21, 22,	52
180		Viticulture	Greater control of effects of viticulture and wineries. Define wineries, and require consent in particular land units. Add new comprehensive definition with appropriate activity status for combined viticulture / accommodation / restaurant / conference facilities. Develop assessment criteria for wineries. Resource consents for restaurants and wineries in lu 20, 21, 22. Revise parking requirements for wineries.	52
181		Viticulture	Greater control of effects of viticulture and wineries. Define wineries, and require consent in particular land units. Add new comprehensive definition with appropriate activity status for combined viticulture / accommodation / restaurant / conference facilities. Develop assessment criteria for wineries. Resource consents for restaurants and wineries in lu 20, 21, 22. Revise parking requirements for wineries. Definitely and realistically calculate traffic volumes.	52
23	gbi	Viticulture	Allow to subdivide small areas for viticulture	52
101	w	Viticulture	Encourage the vineyards. Do not be too hard on the community. We need an industry and vineyards/tourism/restaurants are a good one.	52
106	w	Viticulture	Large contributor to the island economy. Support developing assessment criteria for wineries. Associated restaurants and entertainment facilities should be required to obtain resource consents due to likely impacts on the wider community eg traffic noise and privacy. Encourage reducing use of sprays.	52
126	w	Viticulture	Wineries and associated cafes are a delightful part of Waiheke culture. However maintaining rural character is paramount, and the number of buildings should be strictly controlled. No further covering of rural open spaces with conference centres and associated accommodation.	52
145	w	Viticulture	Support possible approaches in bullet points 5 & 6. Development assessment criteria. Resource consents for restaurants and wineries in lu20, 21, 22. Revise parking requirements for viticulture activities.	52

no.	Isle	keyword	Concerns	Code
152	w	Viticulture	Support possible approaches in bullet points 2, 3, 6. Greater control on effects of viticulture and wineries. Define wineries and require consent in particular land units. Revise parking requirements. Small scale accommodation i.e. farm stays appropriate, conference activities are not. Require green or brown bird netting rather than white. Rules regarding spray drift should be included in plan	52
161	w	Viticulture	Responsibility of operator to prevent spray drift.	52
162	w	Viticulture	Stop spray drift.	52
166	w	Viticulture	Greater control of effects of viticulture and wineries	52
171	w	Viticulture	Greater control of effects of viticulture and wineries. Add new comprehensive definition with appropriate activity status for combined viticulture / accommodation / restaurant / conference activities. Revise parking requirements associated with viticulture activities.	52
186	w	Viticulture	Supports Air Land Water Plan having controls on agrichemicals and spray drift. Not status quo. Greater control on effects of wineries and viticulture. Define 'wineries' and require consent in particular land units. Develop assessment criteria for wineries. Resource consents for restaurant and entertainment facilities within land unit 20, 21, 22.	52
187	w	Viticulture	Status quo – opposes greater control on effects of viticulture and wineries. Add new comprehensive definition and activity status for combined viticulture / accommodation / restaurant / conference. Opposes assessment criteria for wineries, and requiring restaurants and entertainment facilities in lu20, 21, 22 to get resource consent. Revise parking requirements for viticulture activities to ensure adequate parking.	52
198	w	Viticulture	Greater controls on viticulture – particularly LU 20. Spray drift and issue where adjacent to residential areas. Restaurants, accommodation and entertainment facilities should not be permitted. Visual effects of viticulture should be considered in plan – i.e. birds nets should be dark colours (not white)	52

no.	lsle	keyword	Concerns	Code
196	w & p	Viticulture	Man O' War Farm Ltd seek provision for viticulture, wineries (including cellar door operations and restaurants). Provide for these for tourism and economic opportunities. Spray drift on this land unlikely to affect residential activity. Need to distinguish between requirements for vineyard in residential areas and those in rural / remote areas.	52
188		Waiheke growth	90% trigger for new village is too late. Start comprehensive strategy for urban growth on Waiheke and incorporate into notified plan. Intensification of village centres to better support growing public transport system. Intensification along public transport network. Also development around existing ferry terminal. Don't locate growth where it creates demand for new terminal. Any urban capacity inc to be fully compatible and support of all transport modes. Supports recent decision on Matiatia proposal – promotes ferry use and terminal areas as a destination, encourages walking, reduces car trips. Given that ACC has purchased Matiatia, this could be considered as the location for a new urban village on Waiheke. Notified Plan should identify additional urban capacity provided in a manner which is sustainable with transport. Preferable to simply incorporating EW in the Plan.	53
180		Waiheke growth	Develop a composite option.	53
181		Waiheke growth	Supports current on island individual responsibility for effluent disposal. No health and environmental issues if properly maintained. Provide for limited growth (status quo). Develop new village. Reduce existing flexibility so more difficult to obtain consent for non-complying applications.	53
199		Waiheke growth	Plan needs to control & contain growth in order to protect sensitive ecosystems such as wetlands, streams and native vegetation. In order to manage growth on Waiheke it may be more appropriate to provide water and wastewater services to defined areas of higher density. Future development and a second village needs to be addressed in the HGI review. Support expansion of existing villages rather than new villages i.e. Oneroa, Matiatia subject to infrastructure provision. [ARC]	53
2	w	Waiheke growth	Limit growth	53
9	w	Waiheke growth	Don't need any more growth	53
14	w	Waiheke growth	Progress in western / central areas inevitable	53

no.	Isle	keyword	Concerns	Code
19	w	Waiheke growth	More 1500 sqm sections	53
20	w	Waiheke growth	Preserve current environment, community and lifestyle. Minimal population growth	53
24	w	Waiheke growth	Development pressures associated with population growth	53
25	w	Waiheke growth	Slow down development. Maintain island life	53
27	w	Waiheke growth	Retain natural look	53
29	w	Waiheke growth	Concern about another village. Doesn't want traffic generation and overcrowding.	53
30	w	Waiheke growth	No high density housing. Keep rural character	53
35	w	Waiheke growth	Limit population to 8000	53
41	w	Waiheke growth	Issues - population, infrastructure, existing lifestyle / culture	53
58	w	Waiheke growth	Stop infill housing. Create a new village	53
59	w	Waiheke growth	No highrise, no Surfers Paradise development	53
60	w	Waiheke growth	Need some restriction on growth	53
64	w	Waiheke growth	Protect against overcrowding, overpopulation	53
69	w	Waiheke growth	Limit future development. Protect small scale / village / cottage culture	53
72	w	Waiheke growth	Restrict development (eg sewerage and water reticulation) to main areas	53
76	w	Waiheke growth	Urban rezoning needed for population growth. Provide adequate tourist, commercial, industrial land with high development standards.	53
78	w	Waiheke growth	Concern about overdevelopment	53
98	w	Waheke growth	Wastewater and freshwater reticulation would cost residents too much money and turn Waiheke into an Auckland suburb	53
100	w	Waheke growth	Ensure growth is limited by lack of reticulation and sewerage disposal	53

no.	lsle	keyword	Concerns	Code
106	w	Waheke growth	Just about reached limit. New village will create demand for new infrastructure which Waiheke cannot afford. Don't want to become a community for only wealthy people.	53
113	w	Waheke growth	To accommodate future growth we should designate areas of high density development within the existing villages for innovative/eco-friendly residential development.	53
128	w	Waheke growth	Retain Waiheke culture	53
145	w	Waheke growth	Friendly caring pop – poss only in small communities. Support limited growth & reduced flexibility in plan – bullets 1 & 2. Oppose possible approaches in bullet points 3, 4 & 5 (More houses in lu11, and 12 by relaxing lot sizes; expanding village boundaries; develop new village)	53
147	w	Waiheke growth	Limited Growth on Waiheke. Infrastructure under pressure. Further growth only where it can be accommodated	53
152	w	Waheke growth	Support possible approach in bullet point 2. Reduce flexibility and make more difficult to get non complying consent. Oppose possible approach in bullet points 3, 4 & 6. Opposes providing for more houses in land units 11 and 12 by relaxing lot sizes and allowing res activity in village centres. Opposes expanding existing village boundaries. Opposes composite option)	53
158	w	Waheke growth	Provide for limited growth (status quo). Retain most existing LU and strengthen subdivision rules. Support possible approach in bullet point 2 - reduce reduced flexibility in plan.	53
161	w	Waiheke growth	Opposes new village.	53
162	w	Waiheke growth	Supports either / or (but not both) (1) New village of up to 1500. Powered by wind farm (resident owned). All other subdivision to stop. (2) Further subdivision with preference to clustered housing, up to 10,000 population.	53
163	w	Waiheke growth	No more subdivision. No infill housing. No new village.	53
165	W	Waiheke growth	Status quo. Make it more difficult to get consent for non- complying activities. Five year moratorium on large scale development.	53
166	w	Waiheke growth	Limited growth (status quo). Retain existing land units and subdivision controls. Don't provide for more houses in lu11 and 12. Don't expand village boundaries.	53

no.	lsle	keyword	Concerns	Code
169	w	Waiheke growth	In short to medium timeframe, appropriate to extend boundaries of existing villages (such as Orapiu and Kennedy Point) and increase res density in lu11 and 12. Exhaust these avenues before proceeding with new village.	53
171	w	Waiheke growth	Opposes growth and development to achieve urban status. Status quo – limited growth, existing land units and subdivision controls. No encroachment on lu20 between existing villages.	53
186	w	Waiheke growth	Reduce flexibility so more difficult to get consent for non- complying activities. Don't provide for more housing in land units 11, 12 by relaxing lot sizes and allowing residential in village centres. Don't expand boundaries of existing villages. Don't develop new village. Don't develop a composite option.	53
187	w	Waiheke growth	Each subdivision considered on merit. Don't reduce flexibility to make it more difficult to obtain non- complying consent. Don't provide for more houses in lu11 and 12 by relaxing permitted lot sizes and allowing residential activity in village centres. Don't develop a new village.	53
195	w	Waiheke growth	May have to limit population growth. Keep residential building to a low density.	53
198	w	Waiheke growth	Should be no new village on Waiheke. Growth should be within existing plan allowances. Remove existing flexibility for subdivision, multiple dwellings & visitor facilities. Existing boundaries of villages should remain.	53
168		Wastewater	Status quo. Mandatory compliance via bylaw.	54
180		Wastewater	Status quo. Mandatory compliance via bylaw.	54
181		Wastewater	No reticulated sewerage except for Oneroa business area. Dispose of wastewater on site. Never reticulate. Status quo. Mandatory compliance via bylaw.	54
197		Wastewater	Retain district plan control for wastewater disposal [DOC]	54

no.	Isle	keyword	Concerns	Code
199		Wastewater	Support a comprehensive sustainable and integrated approach to wastewater management. Specifically require greater detail in the plan in regards to wastewater issues, reference ARC Proposed Auckland Regional Plan Air, Land and Water policies 5.4.23 & 5.2.24 concerning on site sewage treatment & disposal. Need clarification of the options proposed for the management and prioritisation of the upgrade of existing on site systems. ARC offers to provide input on wastewater disposal in the gulf. Supports either the inclusion of a stormwater section in the plan that relates to impervious surfaces, housing materials, pollution and drainage issues or a discussion of stormwater issues in relevant sections of the plan. [ARC][ARC]	54
2	w	Wastewater	No reticulation	54
5	w	Wastewater	No reticulation, lot sizes to be viable for septic systems	54
28	w	Wastewater	Concern at lack of sewerage	54
29	w	Wastewater	Don't need reticulation	54
40	w	Wastewater	Maintain sewage systems, tax incentives for composting toilets	54
43	w	Wastewater	Reticulation needed.	54
50	w	Wastewater	Requirement for adequate infrastructure, septic waste	54
52	w	Wastewater	Complete reticulation between Oneroa Beach and the village. Need another sullage site.	54
59	w	Wastewater	Monitor septics.	54
76	w	Wastewater	Sewerage systems under pressure. New urban subdivision needs higher level of sewage treatment.	54
84	w	Wastewater	Health issues with septic tanks. Need treatment station. Use wetland systems for treatment.	54
95	w	Wastewater	Allow for composting toilets, do not support reticulation, ground discharge limits growth	54

no.	Isle	keyword	Concerns	Code
98	w	Wastewater	Water supports life on Waiheke, supplied by bore and rainwater, must be jealously protected. Properties should upgrade existing septic tanks and soakage fields, annual certification. Retain existing on-site disposal of wastewater. Wastewater and freshwater reticulation would cost residents too much money and turn Waiheke into an Auckland suburb	54
100	w	Wastewater	Ensure growth is limited by lack of reticulation and sewerage disposal	54
101	w	Wastewater	Retain the existing situation with wastewater in the Hauraki gulf is disposed of through a wide range of onsite disposal systems. Mandatory compliance and development of bylaw for Council managed pumpout process needed to ensure pumpout occurs every three years.	54
106	w	Wastewater	Support use of composting toilets, greywater recycling. Support onsite wastewater disposal where mandatory compliance is required, so long as this does not impose a financial burden on residents.	54
111	w	Wastewater	Ensure clean streams, sea and groundwater	54
113	w	Wastewater	Need to allow innovative solutions such as composting toilets, greywater systems.	54
120	w	Wastewater	All developments be subject to geotechnical reviews for resource consents in relation to fstormwater control, wastewater treatment and disposal. If criteria not achieved, specific engineering design required. 1.5 lot area ratio in TP58 needs refining, does not provide accurate picture of sites capabilities. Proper site mapping should be undertaken.	54
143	w	Wastewater	Against wai mate & wai ora, opposes wetlands to polish wastewater. Support wastewater management plan. Supports systems that use low levels of water and eliminate the need for septic tank soakage	54
144	w	Wastewater	Council should administer the Waiheke Wastewater Management Plan. Currently performance standards vary, records inaccurate. Change wastewater bylaw to enable this	54
145	w	Wastewater	Uncontrolled stormwater runoff greatest treat to wastewater system breakdown - need channelling & collection	54

no.	lsle	keyword	Concerns	Code
147	w	Wastewater	Retain existing disposal system. Alter bylaw to require compliance. Limit no of people per site to prevent overloading. Limit total no of people on sites based on wastewater disposal capacity	54
152	w	Wastewater	Support bullet point 2 - retain existing situation although change bylaw to ensure mandatory compliance	54
158	w	Wastewater	Support possible approach in bullet point 2. Status quo with mandatory bylaw compliance. Although include bio / wetland / worm disposal system and a WOF type system to confirm compliance. Provide for communities, affinity groups and subdivision to have communal treatment systems of all types	54
161	w	Wastewater	Opposed to wastewater reticulation. Dispose of septage on site. Permit composting toilets.	54
162	w	Wastewater	Encourage dry composting toilets, land based disposal, small community based systems.	54
165	w	Wastewater	Status quo. Mandatory compliance via bylaw.	54
171	w	Wastewater	Status quo. Mandatory compliance via bylaw.	54
184	w	Wastewater	Move away from concreted roadside channels to more natural low impact design.	54
186	w	Wastewater	Status quo. Doesn't support mandatory compliance. Some systems require pumping out more often than others. Make it mandatory for owners of problem systems to upgrade. People with good management practices shouldn't have to pay for unnecessary pump outs. Opposes reticulation of at risk catchments. Opposes small-scale communal treatment systems.	54
187	w	Wastewater	Supports current on island individual responsibility for effluent disposal. Opposes localised collection, treatment and disposal. Don't waste money or reticulation, piping water from town, or any similar grandiose schemes.	54
190	w	Wastewater	Too many unknown variables to proceed with development on the basis of supposition rather than evidence of sustainable water supply and disposal, until ARC studies are completed.	54
193	w	Wastewater	Onetangi Beach – establish and fund a programme for stormwater disposal.	54

no.	Isle	keyword	Concerns	Code
198	w	Wastewater	No reticulation anywhere on Waiheke, retain onsite wastewater management. Ensure systems being installed are an appropriate design, suited to Waiheke soils and adequately serviced & maintained. Ensure mandatory compliance. Council should manage this and guarantee the pump out process.	54
196	w Et p	Wastewater	Any requirements wastewater disposal should be in accordance with ARC requirements, to avoid double handling	54
60		Consultation	Involve interested parties.	55
150		Consultation	Thanks KB & Cr Abel for presentation - no comments	55
3	w	Consultation	Inadequate	55
10	w	Consultation	Full community appraisal needed	55
16	w	Consultation	Seems excellent	55
20	w	Consultation	Full consultation critical	55
41	w	Consultation	Reflect commonly held vision	55
95	w	Consultation	Greater autonomy for residents	55
96	w	Consultation	Need to be able to enforce results of consultation	55
112	w	Consultation	Effort undertaken is applauded	55
148	w	Consultation	Focus groups on - six waters & catchment management, Greenbelts & landscape and urban character, Community values & growth, sustainability & HGMPAct in plan. Community surveys. Further roles for photopic consultation. School input into HGI review. Timelines for above	55
171	w	Consultation	Concern re prospective use of restricted discretionary activities which give greater powers to council officers to make decisions without public notification or consultation.	55
85	gbi	Development controls (other)	Increase % lot coverage. But effluent disposal to take precedence.	56
5	w	Development controls (other)	Retain 2m plus 45 degrees	56
40	w	Development controls (other)	Restrict height to preserve views. Control signage	56

no.	lsle	keyword	Concerns	Code
45	w	Development controls (other)	Noise controls	56
49	w	Development controls (other)	Noise controls unreasonable	56
52	w	Development controls (other)	Height limit on accessory buildings	56
79	w	Development controls (other)	Ban loud music	56
81	w	Development controls (other)	Noise from trucks and machinery.	56
108	w	Development controls (other)	Sports park and concrete plant causing light pollution.	56
120	w	Development controls (other)	All developments be subject to geotechnical reviews for resource consents in relation to foundation conditions, site stability, stormwater control, wastewater treatment and disposal and sediment control. If criteria not achieved, specific engineering design required.	56
127	w	Development controls (other)	Strict site coverage to 10%. Limit height of buildings	56
133	w	Development controls (other)	Noise controls tailored to specific communities	56
143	w	Development controls (other)	Need to strengthen earthworks / sedimentation controls	56
145	w	Development controls (other)	Lot Coverage - Lots 1-999m2 max 2 bedrooms & 1 bathroom - Lots 1000-1999m2 - 3 bedroom & 2 bathroom max - under 2000m2 - front loading washing machine & other sustainable water usage methods. All impervious surfaces included in lot coverage. Large sculptures should come under lot coverage & height	56
196	w Et p	Development controls (other)	Allowing only 500m2 lot coverage on a 230ha property is too restrictive. Use percentage site area. Less restrictive coverage controls on farm related buildings and activities.	56
21		Pest control	Pests and cats	57
139		Pest control	Establish pest free zones in HGI with timeframes	57

no.	lsle	keyword	Concerns	Code
199		Pest control	Islands relatively free of pests. ARC has declared the gulf a "Controlled Area" under the Biosecurity Act 1993 (Auckland Regional Pest Management Strategy 2002- 2007). This means that it is an offence to take any animal pest i.e. possums, ferrets etc on the islands. Should have a reference to the strategy in the plan. Farming of goats and deer on Waiheke & GBI should be prohibited. Should control the introduction of cats on Rakino. [ARC]	57
6	gbi	Pest control	Rats	57
32	gbi	Pest control	Help fund rat free island	57
48	gbi	Pest control	Rabbits and rats	57
51	gbi	Pest control	Seeks cat free status	57
30	w	Pest control	Ban cats	57
40	w	Pest control	Incentives for pest control	57
58	w	Pest control	To protect native birds. Mynahs a concern.	57
72	w	Pest control	Noxious weeds. Rats and mice	57
84	w	Pest control	Feral cats.	57
95	w	Pest control	Weed eradication important	57
109	w	Pest control	Greater emphasis on managing pests and weeds	57
143	w	Pest control	Weed control / management needs improving	57